

Non-Certification Recommendation

CLAIM#:

040519008736

INSURED:

Biotelemetry, Inc. / Chubb & Son (WC) - Los

Angeles, CA

DOI:

02/15/2019

CARRIER/TPA:

Chubb & Son (WC) - Los Angeles, CA /

CLAIMANT: CORVEL#: Jonathan Shockley

ADJUSTER:

Mario Castro

CORVEL #: 139249073-UMO-35

Determination Date:

12/15/2020

RFA Received Date:

12/08/2020

Provider:

Babak Jamasbi, MD

Pre-cert #:

139249073-UMO-35

CorVel Corporation has been asked to review the below noted treatment request for medical necessity and appropriateness. After careful review of the submitted medical information, our Physician Advisor, Avrom Gart, MD, CA-G59372, who is board certified in Pain Medicine (Board Certified), PM&R (Board Certified), was unable to recommend the requested treatment. The non-certification decision was made on 12/15/2020.

THERAPY									
Determination	Type of Therapy	Total # Visits		Body Part	СРТ	Effective Date	Termination Date	Facility	Provider
Requested	Acupuncture	6		Cervical spine, bilateral upper arms, right forearm, ulnar nerve lesion for unspecified limb	97813, 97814, 97026, 97124				
Non-Certified	Acupuncture	6		Cervical spine, bilateral upper arms, right forearm, ulnar nerve lesion for unspecified limb	97813, 97814, 97026, 97124	12/15/20	12/15/21		

Guidelines used in the determination process: MTUS-ACOEM-ODG. The clinical reasons regarding medical necessity, or lack of medical necessity, for non-certification are attached. Please note the utilization review process is mandatory and has been done in accordance with California Labor Code §4610. The Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule has been utilized in the determination process, as required in Title 8, California Code of Regulation 9792.6.1.

Any dispute shall be resolved in accordance with the independent medical review provisions of Labor Code section 4610.5 and 4610.6. An objection to the utilization review decision must be communicated by the injured worker, the injured workers representative, or the injured workers attorney on behalf of the



injured worker on the enclosed Application for Independent Medical Review, DWC Form IMR, within 30-calendar days of receipt of this decision.

You have the right to disagree with the decision affecting your claim. If you have any question about the information in this notice, please call your adjuster, Mario Castro, at (213) 612-0880. However if you are represented by an attorney, please contact your attorney instead of your adjuster.

For information about the workers' compensation claims process and your rights and obligations, go to www.dwc.ca.gov or contact an information and assistance (I&A) officer of the state Division of Workers' Compensation. For recorded information and a list of offices, call toll-free 1-800-736-7401.

The appeals process is on a voluntary basis. Should the requesting medical provider wish to appeal the non-certification or modification decision, and/or have additional pertinent medical information which has not previously been submitted for review. You may submit a request for appeal to CorVel Corporation or the claims administrator, You may include any additional clinical information if you have any. This will be reviewed by a different reviewing physician. Requests for appeal need to be sent to CorVel Corporation or the claims administrator within ten (10) days after the receipt of the utilization review decision. A response to your appeal will be rendered within thirty (30) days after receipt of the request. Requests for appeal do not replace the objection process noted above and are voluntary.

In accordance with regulation section 9792.1(e)(5)(K), if the requesting physician wishes to speak to the reviewing physician regarding this determination, you can call (714)385-8500 to arrange an agreed upon scheduled time between the hours of 8:30a.m. to 5:30p.m. Monday through Friday (PST). Should the reviewing physician be unable to speak with you, another reviewer who is competent to evaluate the specific clinical issues involved in the medical treatment services will be made available.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Skenandore RN, CCM Utilization Management Department

NOTE

Please attach a copy of this recommendation letter with your bill; otherwise, payment may be delayed.

Utilization review does not include determinations of employer liability of the work injury, or of bill review for the purpose of determining whether the medical services were accurately billed.

State of California, Division of Workers' Compensation

APPLICATION FOR INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW

DWC Form IMR

TO REQUEST INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW:

- 1. Sign and date this application and consent to obtain medical records.
- Mail or fax the application <u>and</u> a copy of the written decision you received that denied or modified the medical treatment requested by your physician to:
 DWC-IMR, c/o Maximus Federal Services, Inc., P.O. Box 138009, Sacramento, CA 95813-8009 FAX # (916) 605-4270
- 3. Mail or fax a copy of the signed application to your Claims Administrator.

Type of Utilization Review: 🛛 Regular 🗌 Expedited	Modification after appeal						
Employee Name (First, MI, Last): Jonathan Shockley							
Address: 1000 Sutter St. San Francisco, CA 94109							
Phone Number: (415) 312-4029	Employer: Biotelemetry, Inc.						
Claim Number: 040519008736	Date of Injury (MM/DD/YYYY): 02/15/2019						
WCIS Jurisdictional Claim Number (if assigned):UNDETERMINED	EAMS Case Number (if applicable): NA						
Employee Attorney (if known): Farber & Co							
Address: 333 Hegenberger Road #504 Oakland, CA 94621							
Phone Number:	Fax Number:						
Requesting Physician Name (First, MI, Last): Babak Jamasbi,							
Practice Name:	Specialty:						
Address: 1335 Stanford Ave. Emeryville, CA 94608							
Phone Number: (510) 647-5101	Fax Number: (510) 647-5105						
Claims Administrator Name: Chubb & Son (WC) - Los Angele	s, CA /						
Adjuster/Contact Name: Mario Castro							
Address: PO Box 30850 Los Angeles, CA 90030 90030							
Phone Number: (213) 612-0880	Fax Number:						
Disputed Medical Treatment (Complete below section)							
Primary Diagnosis (Use ICD Code where Practical):							
Date of Utilization Review Determination Letter: 12/15/2020							
Is the Claims Administrator disputing liability for the reques	ted medical treatment besides the question of medical						
necessity? Yes No Reason:	se that were depied or modified in the space below. Her						
List each specific requested medical services, goods, or iten	is that were denied or modified in the space below. Use						
additional pages if the space below is insufficient.	ht forcerm ulner perus legion for unanceified limb						
1. Therapy: Acupuncture x6 for cervical spine, bilateral upper arms, rig	nt torearm, urnar herve restort for unspecified little						
Request for Review and Consent to Obtain Medical Record	<u>ls</u>						
I request an independent medical review of the above-described application to the claims administrator named above. I allow my records and information relevant for review of the disputed treat organization designated by the Administrative Director of the D medical, diagnostic imaging reports, and other records related to any other information related to my case, excepting records regarded.	requested medical treatment. I certify that I have sent a copy of this health care providers and claims administrator to furnish medical ment identified on this form to the independent medical review						
Employee Signature:	Date:						

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE APPLICATION FOR INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW FORM

If your workers compensation claims administrator sent you a written determination letter that denied or modified a request for medical treatment made by your treating physician, you can request, at no cost to you, an Independent Medical Review (IMR) of the medical treatment request by a physician who is not connected to your claims administrator. If the IMR is decided in your favor, your claims administrator must give you the service or treatment your physician requested.

IF YOU DECIDE NOT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE IMR PROCESS YOU MAY LOSE YOUR RIGHT TO CHALLENGE THE DENIAL, DELAY, OR MODIFICATION OF MEDICAL TREATMENT REFERRED TO ON PAGE ONE OF THE APPLICATION FOR INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW.

You can request independent medical review by signing and submitting this form with a copy of the written determination letter that denied or modified the medical treatment requested by your physician. You must also send a copy of the signed application to your claims administrator.

- The information on the form was filled in by your claims administrator. If you believe that any of the information is incorrect, submit a separate sheet that provides the correct information.
- If you wish to have your attorney, treating physician, parent, guardian, relative, or other person act on your behalf in filing this application, complete the attached authorized representative designation form and return it with your application. This person may sign the application or you and submit documents on your behalf.
- If the recommended medical treatment that was denied or modified must be provided to you immediately because you are facing an imminent and serious threat to your health and your claims administrator did not perform an expedited or rushed review on your physician's request, this application **must** be submitted with a statement from your physician, supported by medical records, that confirms your condition.
- Mail or fax the application and a copy of the utilization review decision to:

DWC-IMR, c/o Maximus Federal Services, Inc. P.O. Box 138009, Sacramento, CA 95813-8009 FAX Number: (916) 605-4270

- Your IMR application, along with a copy of the written determination letter, must be received by Maximus Federal Services, Inc. within thirty-five (35) days from the mailing date of the written determination letter informing you that the medical treatment requested by your treating physician was denied or modified.
- Send a copy of the signed application to your Claims Administrator. You do not need to include a copy of the written determination letter.

Your Right to Provide Information

You have the right to submit either directly or through your treating physician, information to support the requested medical treatment. Such information may include:

- Your treating physician's recommendation that the requested medical treatment is medically necessary for your medical condition.
- Reasonable information and documents showing that the recommended medical treatment is or was medically
 necessary, including all documents or records provided by your treating physician or any additional material you
 believe is relevant.
- Evidence that the medical guidelines relied upon to deny or modify your physicians requested medical treatment does not apply to your condition or is scientifically incorrect.
- If the medical treatment was provided on an urgent care or emergency basis, information or justification that the requested medical treatment was medically necessary for your medical condition.

If you have any questions regarding the IMR process, you can obtain free information from a Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) information and assistance officer or you can hear recorded information and a list of local offices by calling toll-free I -800-736-7401. You may also go to the DWC website at www.dwc.ca.gov. DWC Form IMR (Effective 2/2014)

DWC Form IMR (Effective 2/2014 Page 2

Authorized Representative Designation for Independent Medical Review (To accompany the Application for Independent Medical Review, DWC Form IMR)

Employee Name (Print):	
wish to designate		
Name of Individual (Pr	int):	
ny notice or request ehalf. I further aut Organization designat ndividual on my behal	in connection horize the D ed by the Div f regarding my	oplication for Independent Medical Review. I authorize this individual to receive with my appeal, and to provide medical records or other information on my ivision of Workers' Compensation, and the Independent Medical Review vision of Workers' Compensation to review my application, to speak to this y Application for Independent Medical Review. I understand that I have the right pe my authorized representative and that I may revoke this designation at any
ime by notifying the	e Division of	Workers' Compensation or the Independent Medical Review Organization s' Compensation to review my application.
ime by notifying the designated by the Division addition to designate or oviders and claims a reatment to the independent of my case. These recallow the independent of the independent	e Division of sion of Worker ating the above administrator ependent revion. These records may also treview organy claims administrator administrator expendent review organy claims administrator expendent review organistrator expendent review organisms and r	Workers' Compensation or the Independent Medical Review Organization rs' Compensation to review my application. We-named individual as my authorized representative, I allow my health care to furnish medical records and information relevant for review of the disputed ew organization designated by the Administrative Director of the Division of order may include medical, diagnostic imaging reports, and other records related include non-medical records and any other information related to my case. Inization designated by the Administrative Director to review these records and inistrators and treating physicians. My permission will end one year from the process of the control of the Division of th

Application for Independent Medical Review. I understand that the Employee may revoke this authorization at any

time and appoint another individual to be their authorized representative.

(Professional status or relationship to the Employee, e.g., attorney, relative, etc.)

Name: I am a/an:

Address:

City:	State:		Zip Code:
Phone Number:		Fax Number:	
State Bar Number (if applicable):			
Representative Signature:			Date:

DWC Form IMR (Effective 2/2014)



Physician Peer Review

Account No: 579230.1

Requesting Physician: Babak Jamasbi, MD

Patient Name: Jonathan Shockley

DOS: 12/15/2020 DOI: 02/15/2019

Claim No: 040519008736

Reviewing Physician:

Avrom Gart, MD

Pain Medicine (Board Certified)
PM&R (Board Certified)
CA-G59372, CO-0045996, CT-64820, LA-206746, MS-23829, NY-158934, OK-35596, TN-45545, TX-N0778

REQUESTED PROCEDURE/SERVICE

DETERMINATION

 Acupuncture x6 for cervical spine, bilateral upper arms, right NON-CERTIFY forearm, ulnar nerve lesion for unspecified limb

TELEPHONE COMMUNICATIONS

12/14/20 16:30 - Admin called (510) 647-5101 and left call back info with Najla, Medical Receptionist.

12/15/20 10:45 - Admin called (510) 647-5101 and left call back number with Angela, the Medical Receptionist.

MEDICAL RECORDS AND DATA REVIEWED

For the current review, I reviewed the following medical records in their entirety:

12/08/20 Dr. Jamasbi Appeal RFA 12/03/20 Dr. Jamasbi Supplemental Report 12/03/20, 09/20/20, 04/06/20 Dr. Stoller Medical Legal Supplemental Reports 11/20/20 Peer Review 01/23/20 PQME Report

Utilization Review Determination Report

REQUESTED SERVICES: Acupuncture x6 for neck, bilateral hands, wrists and forearms [NON-CERTIFY]

CLINICAL HISTORY

According to the medical records, the patient is a 42-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on February 15, 2019. He has been diagnosed with cervical disc disorder with radiculopathy, bilateral upper arm soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, and lesion of ulnar never of unspecified upper limb. His comorbidities include bronchitis, eczema, epilepsy, anxiety and sympathectomy in 2000. His previous treatments include medications, physical therapy, acupuncture, massage therapy, hand therapy, and aquatic therapy. He is a non-smoker and does not consume alcohol. The patient is not currently working. It is of note that a total of 42 sessions of acupuncture has been authorized since November 2019.

A PQME report dated January 23, 2020, indicated that future medical care for the patient should include medications for neuropathic pain, topical medications, mediations for myofascial pain, continued treatment followup, cervical epidural steroid injection, trigger point injections, 20 sessions of acupuncture, 12 sessions of physical therapy every 6 months for the next 4 years for flare-ups, and a functional restoration program.

An IMR Final Determination Letter dated September 24, 2020, upheld the July 21, 2020, UR decision to non-certify the request of 12 sessions of acupuncture for bilateral hands, wrists and forearms, as although it was reported prior acupuncture care was beneficial in reducing symptoms, there was no documentation of medication intake reduction, or activities of daily living and range of motion improvement. After an unknown number of prior acupuncture sessions, the provider failed to document the areas previously treated, the total number of sessions completed and any measurable, progressive, significant, objective functional improvement (quantifiable response to treatment) obtained with previous acupuncture. In addition, the request was for additional 12 sessions of acupuncture, a number that exceeded the guidelines significantly for continuation of care, without extraordinary circumstances documented to consider this case as an outlier to the guidelines.

A peer review on November 20, 2020, non-certified the request for 6 sessions of acupuncture for cervical spine, bilateral upper arms, right forearm and ulnar nerve lesion for unspecified limb, as the patient had been authorized for 42 sessions of acupuncture which significantly exceeded the guideline recommendations of a maximum of 12 sessions. Despite a substantial amount of acupuncture, the records did not establish associated significant sustained pain relief or any quantifiable functional improvements. The patient remained off work. IMR recently determined that additional acupuncture was not medically necessary and appropriate.

A medical legal supplemental report by Dr. Stoller on December 3, 2020, indicated that the patient's primary treating physician, Dr. Jamasbi, was appropriately treating his radiculopathy that might be responsible for more of the patient's symptoms than the carpal tunnel syndrome. Referral to a spine surgeon was a wise therapeutic decision. The patient was not permanent and stationary and he was to be seen one year after decompressive cervical surgery or when curative treatment options were exhausted.

A supplemental report dated December 3, 2020, by Dr. Jamasbi appealed the denial of 6 sessions of acupuncture. Dr. Jamasbi provided additional information indicating that the patient

continued to report bilateral arm pain, with pain in his bilateral upper extremities, worse on the right. The pain was described as burning and pulling. It radiated from his hands and wrists up to his elbows and he had pain in his right deltoid region and shoulder. The patient reported pain in his neck as well as numbness and tingling into his right fourth and fifth digits. The pain was worse with activity and better with conservative treatment. It was noted an EMG done on February 10, 2020, showed demyelinating ulnar mononeuropathy bilaterally across the elbows, but no evidence of median, radial or cervical radiculopathy on either side. The patient was seen by Dr. Gordon for a surgical consultation on July 22, 2020, although Dr. Gordon did not recommend any surgery. It was also noted that 6 sessions of aqua therapy had been approved, but those were currently on hold as no pool facility was open due to the viral pandemic. An MRI of the cervical spine dated April 3, 2020, showed a 4 mm left disc osteophyte at C5-C6 causing severe bilateral neuroforaminal stenosis as well as a left paracentral disc protrusion at C6-C7, and mild central stenosis from C5-C7. There was also severe bilateral neuroforaminal stenosis at C5-C6.

A previous physical examination had revealed tenderness to palpation over the cervical paraspinal musculature. Cervical spine lateral tilt to the left was 25% and to the right was 15%, with elicitation of discomfort. There was also tenderness noted over the volar aspects of the wrists bilaterally. There were reduced 1+ biceps reflexes and absent triceps and brachioradialis reflexes.

It was reported that the patient had excellent benefits from prior sessions of acupuncture with reduction in his pain from 4-5/10 to 2-3/10, constituting a 10% to 20% reduction in the pain complaints for 2-3 days. He was able to do his activities of daily living better and there was overall improvement in his symptoms with acupuncture therapy. Massage therapy had made his pain worse. Also of note, the patient had taken gabapentin a few months back to see if it would improve upper extremity pain, but this caused extreme fatigue that he still felt was occurring. Due to the fatigue, the patient had some blood work done that showed elevated TSH, which he attributed to the use of gabapentin and discontinued it. The patient also has a history of epilepsy. Furthermore, PQME with Dr. Stroller on January 23, 2020, indicated recommendation for the patient to have acupuncture sessions under future medical care. Given all of these, the physician wanted to minimize the reliance on oral pain medications by identifying alternative treatment options like acupuncture for his pain. Therefore, the physician re-requested authorization for 6 sessions of acupuncture for the neck, bilateral hands, wrists and forearms.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Guidelines recommend up to a maximum of 12 sessions of acupuncture. The records indicate that the patient has completed at least 42 sessions of acupuncture. Despite a substantial amount of acupuncture, the patient remains off work nearly 2 years status post injury. The records do not establish significant sustained pain relief or any quantifiable functional improvements associated with previous acupuncture. In addition, IMR recently determined that additional acupuncture for the bilateral hands, wrists and forearms is not medically necessary and appropriate. Additional acupuncture is not supported. Therefore, my recommendation is to NON-CERTIFY the request for Acupuncture x6 for neck, bilateral hands, wrists and forearms.

GUIDELINES / REFERENCES

CA MTUS Treatment Guidelines (December 1, 2017)

Chronic Pain Guideline (ACOEM May 15, 2017)

Allied Health Interventions

Acupuncture for Chronic Persistent Pain Recommended.

Acupuncture is recommended to treat chronic persistent pain. (See other guidelines for specific disorders, especially for low back pain.)

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Indications: Chronic persistent pain, especially torso pain. Patients should have had NSAIDs and/or acetaminophen, stretching and aerobic exercise instituted and have insufficient results. Acupuncture may be considered as a treatment for chronic persistent pain as a limited course during which time there are clear objective and functional goals to be achieved. Consideration is for time-limited use in patients with chronic persistent pain without underlying serious pathology as an adjunct to a conditioning program that has both graded aerobic exercise and strengthening exercises. Acupuncture is only recommended to assist in increasing functional activity levels more rapidly and the primary attention should remain on the conditioning program. In those not involved in a conditioning program, or who are non-compliant with graded increases in activity levels, this intervention is not recommended.

Benefits: Potential to improve pain control and advance functional exercises and conditioning. Harms: Negligible in experienced hands. Pneumothoraces have occurred and puncture of other internal organs has occurred.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Evidence does not support specific Chinese meridian approaches, as needling the affected area appears sufficient. Patterns used in quality studies ranging from weekly for a month to 20 appointments over 6 months. However, the norm is generally no more than 8 to 12 sessions. An initial trial of 5 to 6 appointments is recommended in combination with a conditioning program of aerobic and strengthening exercises. Future appointments should be tied to improvements in objective measures and would justify an additional 6 sessions, for a total of 12 sessions.

Indications for Discontinuation: Lack of improvement, lack of compliance with exercises, lack of incremental functional gain at the end of a treatment course, intolerance.

Rationale: There are multiple quality trials of acupuncture for treatment of many disorders, especially of low back pain (see Low Back Disorders Guideline). There are no quality trials evaluating acupuncture for treatment of non-specific chronic persistent pain.

Evidence: There are no quality studies evaluating acupuncture for the treatment of chronic persistent pain.

Acupuncture/Electroacupuncture

Not Recommended.

Acupuncture or electroacupuncture are not recommended to treat neuropathic pain. Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – Low

Official Disability Guidelines: Pain Chapter (Online Version)

Acupuncture

Recommended as an option as for limited, specific indications below using a single short course in conjunction with other recommended interventions. There is some evidence of reduced pain, medication use, and objective functional improvement following up to 8-12 visits over 4-6

weeks, but data is inconclusive for repeating treatment. A discussion of the effects of acupuncture for different body regions and conditions follows below. See Dry needling; Trigger point injections (TPIs).

Not recommended for treatment of fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain, depression, acquired brain injury (TBI, stroke), tension headaches, neck and upper back pain (without trigger points), acute low back pain, or carpal tunnel syndrome. Also not recommended for hip, knee, ankle, foot, shoulder, elbow, forearm, wrist, or hand pain (with or without OA). Initial trial of 3-4 visits over 2 weeks. For clearly documented functional and VAS improvement during an initial trial, appropriate to complete an additional 4-8 visits over 2-4 weeks.

Recommended for the following clinical indications only:

- Myofascial pain syndrome—chronic, recalcitrant to initial therapy, trigger points present [alternative to massage, trigger point injections, medications, etc.]
- Migraine headaches—chronic, recalcitrant to conventional treatments
- Chronic low back pain—recalcitrant to conventional treatments

Neck and Upper Back: Not recommended as 1st-line treatment over conventional recommended therapies.

Head: Not recommended for acquired brain injury or tension headache. Recommended as an option for recalcitrant migraine headache since effects are more substantial than for other headache types. Acquired brain injury includes both traumatic brain injury (TBI) resulting from physical trauma to the head and non-traumatic brain injury due to conditions like stroke, brain tumor, or substance abuse.

PHYSICIAN ATTESTATION

- This report has been dictated using Dragon Medical voice recognition software and is therefore subject to transcription variance.
- I attest that I have the scope of licensure or certification that typically manages the
 medical condition, procedure, treatment, or issue under review, and have current relevant
 experience and/or knowledge to render a determination on this case under review. My
 license or certification is current and unrestricted. I have at least five years of
 accumulative full-time equivalent experience providing direct clinical care to patients over
 the length of my career.

 The opinions expressed in this report are those of this evaluator and were rendered on the basis of documentation provided (outlined above) and are assumed as true and correct to the best of my knowledge except that as indicated was received from others.

- I certify that I have no material, professional, familial, or financial conflict of interest regarding any of the following: the referring entity; the insurance issuer or group health plan that is subject of the review; the covered person whose treatment is the subject of the review and the covered person's authorized representative, if applicable; any officer, director or management employee of the insurance issuer that is the subject of the review; any group health plan administrator; plan fiduciary, or plan employee; the healthcare provider, the health care provider's medical group or independent practice association recommending the health care service or treatment that is subject of the review; the facility at which the recommended health care service or treatment would be provided; the developer or manufacture of any principal drug, device, procedure, or other therapy being recommended for the covered person whose treatment is under review, or the alternative therapy, if any, recommended by the employer; the employee or the employee's immediate family, or the employee's attorney. I do not accept compensation for review activities that is dependent in any way on the specific outcome of the case. To the best of my knowledge, I was not involved with the specific episode of care prior to referral of the case for review.
- In the case of an appeal or re-review, I certify that I have identified the name of the
 physician who conducted the initial review, and that I have no subordinate relationship
 with that individual.



ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Washington; I am employed by CorVel Corporation, am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 111 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 200, Portland, Oregon, 97204.

I am readily familiar with CorVel's practice for electronic service of correspondence that is maintained on CorVel's electronic database.

On December 15, 2020, the within letter(s) were served on the parties in said action, by sending a true copy thereof **electronically** (facsimile) on the following parties:

Anastasia.skenandore@chubb.com Email: Anastasia.skenandore@chubb.com Babak J Jamasbi, MD Fax: (510) 647-5105

Sinde a. Sint

Executed on December 15, 2020, at Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon, 97204.

I, Linda Grant, declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the **STATE OF OREGON**, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature

File: 139249073 Shockley



PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Clark; I am employed by CorVel Corporation, am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 4120 SE International Way, Suite A108, Milwaukie, OR 97222. I am readily familiar with CorVel's practice for collection and processing of correspondence maintained on CorVel's electronic database for mailing with the U. S. Postal Service. Under such practice, correspondence that is printed for mail service would be put in a sealed envelope with postage theron fully prepaid and placed for collection and mailing on the same date by depositing such with the U.S. postal service in the ordinary course of business.

On December 15, 2020, the within letter(s) were served on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid addressed as follows:

Babak J Jamasbi, MD 1335 Stanford Ave. Emeryville CA 94608

Farber & Co 333 Hegenberger Road #504

Óakland CA 94621 Colantoni, Collins, Marren, Phillips and Tulk: Colantoni, Coll Marren, Phillips and 201 Spear Street #1100 San Francisco CA 94105

Jonathan Shockley 1000 Sutter St. San Francisco CA 94109

Executed on December 15, 2020 at Milwaukie, OR 97222.



I, Becca Guimont, declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the STATE OF OREGON, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature

File: 040519008736, Shockley Jonathan